Mr. C. S. Eaten, S. gave David Ghent this interview by telephone on July 16, 1959 JUN 28 1959

THE THINGRAM BAY AND MELINDA STREETS

TORONTO, I

June 26, 1959

Mr. Cyrus Eaton, Sr., 3600 Terminal Tower, CLEVELAND, Ohio, U.S.A.

Dear Mr. Eaton:

Thank you for agreeing to a taped telephone interview on subjects associated with the Fourth Pugwash Conference.

In accordance with the suggestions you made in a telephone conversation with me yesterday, I enclose a series of questions which I will ask you to answer.

I would appreciate it if you will let me know the date and time you wish me to call you from Toronto to tape the question-and-answer interview.

The tape recording will furnish the basis for a story, but will not necessarily be used in straight question-and-answer form.

I trust you will be able to fit this into your itinerary within the next fortnight.

Yours sincerely,

David J. Chemb.

Encl.

- 1. Who are the scientists who will attend the Conference, and from what countries do they come?
- 2. Are any of them currently engaged in research on bacteriological warfare, or chemical warfare (nerve gas, etc.)?
- J. Have you received indications the threat of bacteriological warfare has reached the point where it can be seriously considered equal to or greater than the threat of nuclear warfare?
- 4. Will these points be discussed at the Conference
- 5. How about radioactive fall-out? Is Strontium 90 regarded as a current threat to man? Is North America particularly vulnerable to fall-out from past or scheduled nuclear tests?
- 6. Has Kruschev indicated to you that the USSR intends to "soften" on the Berlin issue? Does Russia want world trade?
- 7. Should the U.S. recognize Red China?
- 8. Do you feel the West should open its doors of scientific advancement in nuclear research, with corresponding freedom of inspection being extended to us by Iron Curtain countries?
- 9. Do you agree with the opinions expressed by a great many educators that Russia leads the world in its program of technical training.
- 10. It has been suggested that a pressure program of training engineers and allied scientists frequently results in a large number of men getting engineering degrees who in fact are really qualified only as technicians. Is this occurring in the United States today?