Snewhorther Flory Combiner with V Owner & Roughow - Brown ES OF Clearland Front Wall a ward LAW OFFICES OF THOMPSON, HINE AND FLORY AMOS BURT THOMPSON CHARLES P. HINE 1907-1943 WALTER L. FLORY 1905-1951 CHARLES W. SELLERS NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING CLEVELAND 14, OHIO CHERRY 1-1880 April 12, 1965 Mr. C. S. Eaton 3600 Terminal Tower Cleveland, Ohio Dear Mr. Eaton: statement. from material in our file. or unless you find our statement erroneous in some respects. you may have. Eaton Manufacturing which would be helpful?

Enclosed is a draft memorandum concerning the bad debt issue. This is based primarily on your long statement to us which we recorded, transcribed, and then condensed somewhat. We also added certain material from other sources with respect to some ventures not discussed in your original

In the case of Steep Rock, Premium, Atlantic, International and Ungava, the material is taken from the similar statement made by Mr. Daley; it seemed to us, however, that it ought to be included also in yours for completeness. We have added to it some additional material based on material in our own files which we have gathered in connection with the issues involving the valuation of stock of Premium. The material on Central States is taken entirely

We think all of these are relevant and tend to prove our point and believe that the discussion of these ventures should be included unless you have some objection

We should appreciate your reading the enclosed and giving us the benefit of any suggestions or corrections

We also have the following particular points which we want to discuss with you at your earliest convenience:

1. Is there anything in connection with

THOMPSON, HINE AND FLORY

- How did your control of Goodyear arise? 2. Was Otis utilized in connection with Goodyear?
- In discussing the National Refining Company Board, there are named among the directors "Bender" and "Mr. Bolton, the owner".. See page 21 of the transcript. Who were these men?
- There is some discussion of Continental I think there should be Shares and Commonwealth Securities. It has been my general much more on these two companies. understanding that Continental Shares was a public utility holding company and Commonwealth Securities was a holding These companies were obviously company of other assets. utilized to facilitate the aggregation of large amounts of capital for investment, and it seems likely that Otis in turn was used to sell the securities of these two companies.
- The William Davies Company transactions 5. are not very clearly or fully described. What was Otis doing in connection with these transactions?
- What was International Shares and what 6. was its history?
- The discussion on Foreign Utilities, Ltd. . 7. is my own, based on information obtained elsewhere in the Can more be said? Is it correctly stated? pending case. Did Otis have anything to do with it?
- The discussion of Citizens Gas is not 8. entirely consistent with the story as told in our long I would like to go over memorandum on Investors Trust. this material with you again. The same relates to Indianapolis Gas, which is not described in this memorandum at all, but in which, as I recall, Otis had some part.
- We have not mentioned Investors Trust, . 9. It seems to me that there is no harm except in passing. at this late date in describing it as another corporation which you assisted, both in its organization and through In addition, you clearly used Otis your advisory skill. in connection with your services to this company, particularly in handling its United States investments and in such transactions as that involving Central States.

Mr. C. S. Eaton

Page 3

10. We should also consider what is to be said about the United Mine Workers transactions. You will recall that a part of the debt of Otis to you which subsequently became a loss was a debt arising out of the elimination of UMW as a creditor of Otis. While this is not in any way a further example of the use of Otis described in the memorandum, you clearly had excellent business reasons for acting as you did in connection with the UMW.

Il. Is there any objection to our indivating in the memorandum that you are no longer "engaged in business" and have not been in recent years? We are concerned about the possibility that if you are engaged in this business, an argument might be made that your capital gains become ordinary income, and that your interest deductions become business expenses (the effect of which would be to reduce the allowable amount of charitable deductions). During the years in which the bad debt is important, your capital gains and charitable contributions were small enough that we could well afford, if absolutely necessary, to accrifice this point in order to gain allowance of the bad debt loss.

On the other hand, we could not risk this treatment in later years, and would therefore benefit by reserving the possible argument that at some time after 1951, you ceased to be actively engaged in the business of organizing, reorganizing, managing and obtaining financing for corporations.

Very truly yours,

Muing Maghilla

Coughlin/cc